# MARINE CHEMISTRY

AN INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR STUDIES OF THE MARINE ENVIRONMENT

Marine Chemistry 64 (1999) 39-55

## Historical trends of metals in the sediments of San Francisco Bay, California

Michelle I. Hornberger a,\*, Samuel N. Luoma A, Alexander van Geen b, Christopher Fuller A, Roberto Anima Christopher Fuller A, Roberto Anima Christopher Fuller B, Roberto Anima Christopher B, Roberto A, Robert

<sup>a</sup> U.S. Geological Survey, 345 Middlefield Road, MS 465, Menlo Park, CA 94025, USA b Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory of Columbia University, Palisades, NY 10964, USA

Received 31 July 1997; revised 3 June 1998; accepted 24 June 1998



F.J. Millero, University of Miami, Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Science, 4600 Rickenbacker Causeway, Miami, FL 33149-1098, USA. Tel: +1 305 361 4707; Fax: +1 305 361 4144

#### **Associate Editors**

M.A. Altabet (New Bedford, MA, USA)

R. Anderson (Palisades, NY, USA)

R. Benner (Port Aransas, TX, USA) E. Boyle (Cambridge, MA, USA)

K. Bruland (Santa Cruz, CA, USA)

P. Buat-Ménard (Talence, France)

C.T.A. Chen (Kaohsiung, Taiwan)

K.H. Coale (Moss Landing, CA, USA)

P.G. Coble (St. Petersburg, FL, USA)

R.W. Collier (Corvallis, OR, USA) G.A. Cutter (Norfolk, VA, USA)

H.J.W. de Baar (Den Burg, The Netherlands) A.G. Dickson (La Jolla, CA, USA)

E. Druffel (Irvine, CA, USA)

T. Eglinton (Woods Hole, MA, USA)

H. Elderfield (Cambridge, UK)

S. Emerson (Seattle, WA, USA)

J. Hedges (Seattle, WA, USA)

K.A. Hunter (Dunedin, New Zealand)

K.S. Johnson (Moss Landing, CA, USA)

R.P. Kiene (Mobile, AL, USA)

K. Kremling (Kiel, Germany)

P.S. Liss (Norwich, UK)

G. Luther III (Lewes, DE, USA)

D. Mackey (Hobart, Tas., Australia)

C.S. Martens (Chapel Hill, NC, USA) J.-M. Martin (Montrouge, France)

W. Moore (Columbia, SC, USA)

J.W. Morse (College Station, TX, USA)

J. Murray (Seattle, WA, USA)

T.F. Pedersen (Vancouver, B.C., Canada)

E.T. Peltzer (Moss Landing, CA, USA)

A. Poisson (Paris, France)

A. Saliot (Paris, France)

M. Scranton (Stony Brook, NY, USA)

R.M. Sherrell (New Brunswick, NJ, USA)

S. Tsunogai (Sapporo, Japan)

D. Turner (Göteborg, Sweden)

C.M.G. van den Berg (Liverpool, UK)

S. Wakeham (Savannah, GA, USA)

A. Watson (Norwich, UK)

S. Westerlund (Göteborg, Sweden)

R. Wollast (Brussels, Belgium)

#### Scope of the journal

Marine Chemistry is an international medium for the publication of original studies and occasional reviews in the field of chemistry in the marine environment, with emphasis on the dynamic approach. The journal will endeavour to cover all aspects, from chemical processes to theoretical and experimental work, and, by providing a central channel of communication, to speed the flow of information in this relatively new and rapidly expanding discipline.

#### **Publication information**

Marine Chemistry (ISSN 0304-4203). For 1999 volumes 63-67 are scheduled for publication. Subscription prices are available upon request from the publisher. Subscriptions are accepted on a prepaid basis only and are entered on a calendar year basis. Issues are sent by surface mail except to the following countries where air delivery via SAL is ensured: Argentina, Australia, Brazil, Canada, Hong Kong, India, Israel, Japan, Malaysia, Mexico, New Zealand, Pakistan, PR China, Singapore, South Africa, South Korea, Taiwan, Thailand, USA. For all other countries airmail rates are available upon request. Claims for missing issues must be made within six months of our publication (mailing) date.

Orders, claims, and product enquiries: please contact the Customer Support Department at the Regional Sales Office nearest you:

New York: Elsevier Science, PO Box 945, New York, NY 10159-0945, USA; phone: (+1) 212 633 3730 [toll free number for North American customer: 1-888-4ES-INFO (437-4636)]; fax: (+1) 212 633 3680; e-mail: usinfo-f@elsevier.com

Amsterdam: Elsevier Science, PO Box 211, 1000 AE Amsterdam, The Netherlands; phone: (+31) 20 4853757; fax: (+31) 20 4853432; e-mail: nlinfo-f@elsevier.nl

Tokyo: Elsevier Science, 9-15 Higashi-Azabu 1-chome, Minato-ku, Tokyo 106, Japan; phone: (+81) (3) 5561 5033; fax: (+81) (3) 5561 5047; e-mail: info@elsevier.co.jp

Singapore: Elsevier Science, No. 1 Temasek Avenue, #17-01 Millenia Tower, Singapore 039192; phone: (+65) 434 3727; fax: (+65) 337 2230; e-mail: asiainfo@elsevier.com.sg

Rio de Janeiro: Elsevier Science, Rua Sete de Setembro 111/16 Andar, 20050-002 Centro, Rio de Janeiro - RJ, Brazil; phone: (+55) (21) 509 5340; fax: (+55) (21) 507 1991; e-mail: elsevier@campus.com.br [Note (Latin America): for orders, claims and help desk information, please contact the Regional Sales Office in New York as listed above].



This journal is part of ContentsDirect, the free alerting service which sends tables of contents by e-mail for Elsevier Science books and journals. The quickest way to register for ContentsDirect is via the World Wide Web at: www.elsevier.nl/locate/ContentsDirect

If you don't have access to the WWW you can register for this service by sending an e-mail message to cdsubs@elsevier.co.uk specifying the title of the publication you wish to register for.

The tables of contents are also available on the Elsevier Science website at: www.elsevier.nl or www.elsevier.com or www.elsevier.co.jp

The paper used in this publication meets the requirements of ANSI/NISO Z39.48-1992 (Permanence of Paper). PRINTED IN THE NETHERLANDS



Marine Chemistry 64 (1999) 39-55

## MARINE CHEMISTRY

### Historical trends of metals in the sediments of San Francisco Bay, California

Michelle I. Hornberger <sup>a,\*</sup>, Samuel N. Luoma <sup>a</sup>, Alexander van Geen <sup>b</sup>, Christopher Fuller <sup>a</sup>, Roberto Anima <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> U.S. Geological Survey, 345 Middlefield Road, MS 465, Menlo Park, CA 94025, USA <sup>b</sup> Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory of Columbia University, Palisades, NY 10964, USA

Received 31 July 1997; revised 3 June 1998; accepted 24 June 1998

#### Abstract

Concentrations of Ag, Al, Cr, Cu, Fe, Hg, Mn, Ni, Pb, V and Zn were determined in six sediment cores from San Francisco Bay (SFB) and one sediment core in Tomales Bay (TB), a reference estuary. SFB cores were collected from between the head of the estuary and its mouth (Grizzly Bay, GB; San Pablo Bay, SP; Central Bay, CB; Richardson Bay, RB, respectively) and ranged in length from 150 to 250 cm. Concentrations of Cr, V and Ni are greater than mean crustal content in SFB and TB sediments, and greater than found in many other coastal sediments. However, erosion of ultramafic rock formations in the watershed appears to be the predominant source. Baseline concentrations of other metals were determined from horizons deposited before sediments were influenced by human activities and by comparing concentrations to those in TB. Baseline concentrations of Cu co-varied with Al in the SFB sediments and ranged from  $23.7 \pm 1.2 \,\mu\text{g/g}$  to  $41.4 \pm 2.4$  $\mu$ g/g. Baseline concentrations of other metals were less variable: Ag,  $0.09 \pm 0.02$   $\mu$ g/g; Pb,  $5.2 \pm 0.7$   $\mu$ g/g; Hg,  $0.06 \pm 0.01 \,\mu \text{g/g}$ ; Zn,  $78 \pm 7 \,\mu \text{g/g}$ . The earliest anthropogenic influence on metal concentrations appeared as Hg contamination (0.3-0.4 µg/g) in sediments deposited at SP between 1850 and 1880, apparently associated with debris from hydraulic gold mining. Maximum concentrations of Hg within the cores were 20 times baseline. Greater inventories of Hg at SP and GB than at RB verified the importance of mining in the watershed as a source. Enrichment of Ag, Pb, Cu and Zn first appeared after 1910 in the RB core, later than is observed in Europe or eastern North America. Maximum concentrations of Ag and Pb were 5-10 times baseline and Cu and Zn concentrations were less than three times baseline. Large inventories of Pb to the sediments in the GB and SP cores appeared to be the result of the proximity to a large Pb smelter. Inventories of Pb at RB are similar to those typical of atmospheric inputs, although influence from the Pb smelter is also suspected. Concentrations of Hg and Pb have decreased since the 1970s (to 0.30 µg/g and 25 µg/g, respectively) and were similar among all cores in 1990. Early Ag contamination was perhaps a byproduct of the Pb smelting process, but a modern source of Ag is also indicated, especially at RB and CB. © 1999 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: cores; metals; San Francisco Bay

0304-4203/99/\$ - see front matter © 1999 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved. PII: S0304-4203(98)00083-8

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Corresponding author. Fax: +1-650-329-4545; E-mail: mhornber@usgs.gov

#### 1. Introduction

Human activities have accelerated cycling and increased metal deliveries to estuaries. Because many metals are toxic to aquatic life in minute quantities, changes in loadings or concentrations could have ecosystem-wide implications. It is therefore important to understand how anthropogenic activities change the concentrations of potentially toxic metals, what processes affect such change, and which activities have the greatest effects.

Most metals associate with the surfaces of particles. They are preferentially transported, deposited and eventually buried with fine grained sediments. Carefully dated cores through sediment deposits can provide chronologies of metal concentration or input in areas of net sediment deposition (e.g., Nriagu et al., 1982; Christensen and Goetz, 1987). On the west coast of North America, cores have been studied in coastal sediments of Southern California (Bertine and Goldberg, 1977; Finney and Huh, 1989; Huh, 1996) and central Puget Sound (Bloom and Crecelius, 1987). But the history of contamination in one of the largest estuaries on the West Coast, San Francisco Bay, has not been studied. In coastal ecosystems, core chronologies are typically restricted to environments where sediment resuspension and bioturbation are minimal. Many of these environments are anoxic and abiotic (Goldberg et al., 1978; Valette-Silver et al., 1993; Owens and Cornwell, 1994). Less is known about the fate of metals in the oxic, biologically rich and well mixed sediments typical of estuaries like San Francisco Bay. Here we present metal chronologies from dated cores from several locations in that Bay. Our goal is to determine how geology, dynamic hydrobiogeochemical processes and changing human activities have influenced metal concentrations in Bay sediments. We also establish the concentrations of metals that characterized Bay sediments before human activities and describe how modern sediments are responding to improvements in waste treatment.

The geology of the Bay watershed contains mineral deposits and ultramafic rocks that are naturally enriched in metals relative to the mean composition of the continental crust. Interpretation of human influences requires understanding this natural baseline of metal concentrations. A variety of specific

human activities could have affected metal contamination (van Geen and Luoma, 1999). Between 1850 and 1900, most of the mercury mining in the world occurred in the watershed of San Francisco Bay (Nriagu, 1994). Hydraulic mining for gold mobilized sediments and spread Hg contamination. Activities in the Shasta mining district resulted in Cu, Cd, and Zn contamination. Between the 1930s and 1970, dams, reservoirs and canals were constructed to manage and divert the Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers for agricultural and urban uses. Water management changed sediment transport (Peterson et al., 1993) and hydrodynamic processes that affect the fate of metals within the Bay. Modern industries first appeared on the shores of the Bay near the turn of the century, about the same time that metal usage increased in the rest of the country (Christensen and Goetz, 1987). Like elsewhere, rates of urbanization and industrialization increased after World War II. Oil refineries (sources of Ni and V) and chemical plants remain important sources of waste discharge to the Bay; but industries, like the large Selby lead smelter near San Pablo Bay, have ceased operation (Rabinowitz and Wetherill, 1972). Since 1970, extensive investments in advanced waste water treatment have occurred, that could effectively remove metals. The sum of all metal loadings to the Bay were estimated to be 993 T/yr in 1960. In 1986 they were 171 T/yr (Monroe and Kelly, 1992). Unlike coastal systems where a single large input of wastes dominate metal loadings and natural influences on sediment deposition can be readily defined (Bloom and Crecelius, 1987; Huh, 1996), a changing array of hydrobiogeochemical influences and human activities could have influenced metals in San Francisco Bay sediments. The ecosystem response is likely to be complex, and it has not been documented.

#### 2. Methods

### 2.1. Sample locations

Preliminary analyses of 0.6 N HCl-extractable metals were conducted on 14 cores from a group of 273 gravity cores collected in 1990 and 1991 (Fig. 1; Table 1). Detailed analyses were conducted on six of the 14 cores and, for comparison, on one core from

Tom: France baniz mine cisco region files of conce depth depose betwee

> Co Richa bayme ship to War source

Fig. 1. Site location map

Tomales Bay. Tomales Bay is 45 km north of San Francisco Bay and is neither industrialized nor urbanized, although there is a small, inactive mercury mine in its watershed. The six cores from San Francisco Bay included replicate cores from two major regions of the Bay. All six had bimodal depth profiles of HCl-extractable metal concentrations (higher concentrations in the near surface sediments than at depth) and were from locations of net sediment deposition, as indicated by differences in bathymetry between 1955 and 1990 (Ogden Beeman and Associates, 1992).

•

эle

of

1;

of

om

Core RB92-3 was collected at the mouth of Richardson Bay, a 2-km wide, wind-protected embayment near the mouth of San Francisco Bay. A ship building facility was operational during World War II within Richardson Bay; otherwise local sources of contaminants are minimal. Richardson

Bay sediments should integrate contamination from both North and South Bay (Krone, 1979), but are probably also strongly influenced by marine processes (Conomos, 1979). Cores CB90-9 and CB90-12 were from Central Bay (Fig. 1) which is also strongly influenced by marine inputs (Conomos, 1979). In addition, these sites are approximately 6 km from the Alcatraz Island dredge spoil dumping site, where dredging waste has been deposited since 1975 (AHI and Williams Associates, 1990). Three cores from further landward in the estuary were analyzed in detail; two from San Pablo Bay (SP90-2 and SP90-8) and one from the Grizzly Bay arm of Suisun Bay (GB90-6). Together these are termed North Bay cores (Fig. 1). Water chemistry and sediment transport in these locations are influenced by inputs from the San Joaquin and Sacramento Rivers (Conomos, 1979). Salinities less than 1 can occur during the highest river flows: low flow salinities are typically 17 to 24 in northern San Pablo Bay. Previous studies have documented enriched concentrations of Cr. Ni. V, Cu and Cd in water and bivalves in Suisun and northern San Pablo Bay as a result of a variety of industrial discharges (Flegal et al., 1991; Abu-Saba and Flegel, 1995; Brown and Luoma, 1995).

## 2.2. Field, sample preparation and analytical procedures

All gravity cores were collected from the 'RV David Johnston' using a corer with a 363-kg weight sound. The cores were 9 cm in diameter and ranged from 0.5-2.5 m in length. The core barrel was steel, with a polybutyrate liner. In addition to the 1990-1991 sampling, a gravity core and box core were obtained from the mouth of Richardson Bay in August, 1992 (RB92-3) (Fuller et al., 1999). Comparison of isotope profiles (Fuller et al., 1999) and organic contaminant distributions (Venkatesan et al., 1999) between the box core and the surface sediments of the gravity core verified that no significant loss of surface materials or distortion of surface profiles occurred during gravity coring (Crusius and Anderson, 1991). The core from Tomales Bay was collected in 1993 using a diver-operated piston corer (Sansone et al., 1994).

After collection, the cores were X-rayed, split into a working half and an archive half and stored in a

Table 1 List of all core locations, dates of collection and types of data collected for each core

Location/ USGS identifier	Map Number	Latitude	Longitude	Length (cm)	Collection date	Isotope dating	Digest technique	Grain size analyzed
Grizzly Bay								
SFB020190-6	*GB90-6	38°6.06′	122°1.58′	205	1990	X	$HNO_3$	< 64 µm
SFB020190-9	-	38°5.39′	122°3.83′	76	1990	-	HCl	$< 64 \mu m$
San Pablo Bay								
SFB020790-2	SP90-2	38°2.41'	122°16.71'	190	1990	_	HNO <sub>3</sub>	< 64 µm
SFB020790-8	*SP90-8	38°2.02′	122°19.57′	240	1990	X	HNO3; HF	< 64 μm; Bulk
Petaluma River								
SFB020690-12	~	38°8.44′	122°31.04′	240	1990	-	HCl	< 64 µm
Central Bay								
SFB020890-3	_	37°58.29′	122°26.86′	190	1990	-	HCl	< 64 µm
SFB020890-6	_	37°57.61'	122°27.33′	232	1990	_	HCI	< 64 µm
SFB020890-9	_	37°55,43′	122°28.39′	245	1990	-	HCl	< 64 µm
SFB020990-9	CB90-9	37°53.30′	122°23.65′	130	1990	_	HNO <sub>3</sub>	< 64 µm
SFB020890-11	_	37°54.33′	122°27.60′	145	1990	_	HCl	< 64 µm
SFB020990-12	*CB90-12	37°51.41′	122°21.54′	204	1990	X	HNO3; HF	< 64 µm; Bulk
Richardson Bay								
SFB082092-3	*RB92-3	37°51.38′	122°28.20′	150	1992	X	HNO3; HF	$<$ 64 $\mu$ m; Bulk
South Bay								
10-G-25	_	37°32.67′	122°11.15′	180	1990	-	HCl	< 64 µm
SFB022190-2		37°29.84′	122°5.55′	173	1990	-	HCl	< 64 µm
Tomales Bay								
TB93-1	-	38°10′	122°54′	320	1993	X	HNO3; HF	< 64 µm; Bulk

Primary core locations are marked with an asterisk. Metal analysis for HCl extracts include Al, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mn, Pb, V, Zn. Metal analysis for HNO<sub>3</sub> and HF digests include the same elements as the HCl extracts, with the addition of Ag and Hg. Isotope dating on cores marked with an X were dated by <sup>137</sup>Cs. Additional dating techniques for RB92-3 and SP90-8 included <sup>210</sup>Pb, <sup>239</sup>Pu, <sup>240</sup>Pu, <sup>234</sup>Th and <sup>10</sup>Be.

cold room (2–3°C) until sampling. Sand/silt ratio was determined on all samples. Sediment samples were wet-sieved using an acid-cleaned nylon-mesh screen into a tared 100 ml beaker to  $< 64 \mu m$  in ultra-clean deionized water and dried at 70°C.

The  $< 64~\mu m$  sediments were analyzed for metals in all cores (these are the data reported here, unless otherwise noted); bulk analyses were conducted on selected samples (see Table 1). Sieving effectively reduces the most important grain size biases that can affect comparisons (Salomons and Forstner, 1984; Luoma, 1990). Each sediment sample was homogenized using a mortar and pestle, split into 0.5 g replicate aliquots, and placed into a scintillation vial. For the weak-acid digest, two replicate

0.5 g sediment aliquots were digested at room temperature for 2 h in 0.6 N HCl. The sample was filtered with a 0.45 µm filter and analyzed by Inductively Coupled Argon Plasma Emission Spectroscopy (ICAPES). For near-total metal analyses, replicate sub-samples from each horizon and procedural blanks, were digested using the concentrated nitric acid reflux method described by Luoma and Bryan (1981). Sediment aliquots of approximately 0.5 g were placed into 22 ml scintillation vials. Ten milliliters of concentrated trace metal grade nitric acid was added to each, a reflux bulb was placed on the vial and the sample was left at room temperature overnight. Samples were then refluxed at 150°C for approximately 1 week, until clear. Reflux bulbs were

removed and the samples were evaporated to dryness. The residue was reconstituted in 0.6 N trace metal grade hydrochloric acid, then filtered through 0.45  $\mu$ m filters. Decomposition with concentrated nitric acid reflux is comparable with procedures previously employed on Bay sediments (San Francisco Estuary Institute, 1994). It is indicative of metals sufficiently mobile to be of potential toxicological interest, but it has the disadvantage of not providing a complete dissolution of the sediment.

Total decomposition was conducted on a full suite of samples from RB92-3, SP90-8 and on selected samples from CB90-12 in order to compare trends to those observed by near total decomposition (see Table 1). Around 1 ml of concentrated HClO<sub>4</sub> and 2 ml of concentrated HF were added to sub-samples of 0.2 g, with selected replicates, in a Teflon vial. The samples were placed on an aluminum heat block preset at 110°C, and taken to dryness. About 1 ml of HCLO<sub>4</sub> was added and then ultra-clean deionized water added to bring the Teflon vial to half full. Samples were returned to the hot plate for evaporation, cooled and reconstituted to 10 ml in 0.6 N HCl. The vials were capped and heated at 90°C for 1 h.

Samples for Hg analyses were reacted at 100°C in aqua regia followed by 10% nitric/dichromate reconstitution; 3% NaBH<sub>4</sub> (in 1% NaOH) was added as a reductant before analysis by cold vapor AAAS.

Concentrations of Al, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mn, Ni, V and Zn in the sediment were analyzed by ICAPES, after careful correction for peak interferences in the sediment digest matrix. Concentrations of Ag were analyzed by Graphite Furnace Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy (GFAAS) using Zeeman background correction with calibration by the method of standard additions. Lead concentrations were analyzed by flame AAS.

The ICAPES was profiled and standardized according to normal operating procedures, then a quality control (QC) standard was run every 10–15 samples to ensure consistent performance of the instrument. Procedural blanks were analyzed as an unknown, but no blank subtraction was necessary. The instrument limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantitation (LOQ) were determined by 10 or more analyses of a standard blank (0.6 N HCl) throughout each analytical run (Keith et al., 1983). All data reported here fall above the LOQ. If readings from

replicate values of a solution were of low precision (relative standard deviation > 10%), the readings were not used.

Recoveries from standard reference materials (SRM Sediment Standard 1646 and 2709) are reported in Table 2. Because Pb analyses by ICAPES had an uncorrectable bias from Al, Pb (HNO<sub>3</sub> digest) was analyzed by AAS. Recoveries of Pb from SRM 2709 were low in HNO<sub>3</sub>. As a second test of recoveries, Pb in selected horizons of core sediments were analyzed by both AAS (HNO<sub>3</sub> digest) and isotope dilution by mass spectrometry of totally decomposed sediments. These two methods compared within 5% in both uncontaminated and contaminated horizons, suggesting a high fraction of Pb recovery in San Francisco Bay sediment.

#### 2.3. Dating

Analyses of <sup>137</sup>Cs, <sup>210</sup>Pb, <sup>239,240</sup>Pu, <sup>234</sup>Th, were conducted on cores RB92-3 and SP90-8 to derive chronologies (Table 1; Fuller et al., 1999). Additional analyses of 10 Be constrained early human activities on each core and <sup>14</sup>C was used to identify the oldest sediments in RB92-3 (van Geen and Luoma, 1999). Sediments in RB92-3 appeared to be continuously deposited since well before significant anthropogenic activity began in the watershed (van Geen and Luoma, 1999). The linear sedimentation rate at the surface of RB92-3 was 0.89 cm/yr and the core was vertically mixed to 33 cm depth (Fuller et al., 1999). Dates of sediment deposition were determined by numerical simulation of 210 Pb profiles. The dates on individual horizons are the minimum age of sediments at that depth. The deposition rate in SP90-8 averaged 4.1 cm/yr based on <sup>137</sup>Cs and <sup>239,240</sup>Pu activity maxima and <sup>210</sup>Pb profiles. Profiles of <sup>137</sup>Cs were also determined in three additional cores (see Table 1), in order to estimate the depth of sediment deposition since  $1952 \pm 2$  (Table 1; method described by Fuller et al., 1999).

Jaffe et al. (1998) reconstructed depositional processes at SP90-8 by comparing five detailed bathymetry surveys conducted since 1850. A discontinuity in chemical concentrations observed at ~ 120 cm depth in this core appears to coincide with a depositional hiatus that extended from 1880 to 1950. Both depositional history and Sr/Nd isotopic signa-

rated and ately Ten uitric ad on ature

C for

were

alysis arked

tem-

was

.duc-

pec-

yses,

:oce-

Table 2
Range of recoveries for Standard Reference Material (Estuarine Sediment Standard, SRM 1646 and San Joaquin River Sediment, SRM 2709)

		Ag	*Al	Cr	Cu	*Fe	Hg	Mn	Ni	Pb	V	Zn
SRM 2709	Certified	$0.41 \pm 0.03$	$7.5 \pm 0.06$	130±4	$34.6 \pm 0.7$	$3.5 \pm 0.1$	$1.40 \pm 0.08$	538 ± 17	88±5	$18.9 \pm 0.5$	112±5	106±3
$HNO_3 (n = 24)$	Measured	$0.35 \pm 0.05$	$3.6 \pm 0.4$	$81 \pm 4$	$28 \pm 2$	$2.9 \pm 0.1$	$1.40 \pm 0.06$	$484 \pm 23$	74±3	$11.5 \pm 0.6$	$79 \pm 6$	$93 \pm 4$
SRM 1646	Certified	-	$6.3 \pm 0.2$	$76 \pm 3$	$18\pm3$	$3.4 \pm 0.1$		$375 \pm 20$	$32\pm3$	$28.2 \pm 1.8$	$94 \pm 1$	$138 \pm 6$
$HNO_3 (n = 20)$	Measured	_	$2.4 \pm 0.4$	$44 \pm 3$	$14 \pm 2$	$2.5 \pm 0.1$	-	$252 \pm 14$	$25 \pm 1$	$24.5 \pm 3.4$	$55 \pm 8$	$122 \pm 10$
HF(n=6)	Measured	_	$5.8 \pm 0.2$	$65\pm2$	$15.3 \pm 6$	$3.1\pm0.1$	<del>-</del>	$338 \pm 8$	$28 \pm 1$	na	$92 \pm 4$	135±2

Al and Fe (\*) are reported by percent weight. All other elements are reported in  $\mu g/g$ . Recoveries for near-total (HNO<sub>3</sub>) and total (HF) digests are reported. Lead concentrations for the HF digest were not analyzed (see text). There are no certified values for Ag or Hg in SRM 1646.

tures suggest sediments between 150–250 cm originated from hydraulic mining activities and were deposited between 1850 and 1880 (Bouse et al., 1996; Jaffe et al., 1998). Thus, sediments deposited before 1850 lie directly beneath sediments deposited in the 1950s.

Inventories of 'excess' metal (mass per area deposited in excess of baseline) were determined in GB90-6, SP90-8 and RB92-3 by integrating contaminant metal inputs downcore. The  $\mu g/cm^2$  of metal in interval a  $(M_a)$  was determined by:

$$M_a = (C_a - B) p_z \Delta z \tag{1}$$

where  $C_a$  is the metal concentration in  $\mu g/g$  in interval a, B is the baseline metal concentration that occurred before anthropogenic activities began in the watershed (see later discussion),  $p_z$  is the bulk density of the sediment, and z is interval thickness in cm. Fuller et al. (1999) reported  $p_z$  for each horizon in RB92-3; an average bulk density of 1.1  $g/cm^2$  was used for SP90-8 and GB90-6. The integrated inventory for sediments  $(\phi)$  was determined by:


$$\phi = \sum (C_{a-z} - B), \tag{2}$$

for all intervals. Intervals not sampled were assigned values by linear interpolation of the concentration (mass of metal per gram) of adjacent intervals. Long-term mean excess metal flux,  $\phi$ , was compared among cores with different sedimentation rates. To do so,  $\phi$  was divided by the number of years of excess metal input (e.g., normalized to the period of human disturbance) using age estimates from Fuller et al. (1999).

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Decomposition methods

Total decomposition and nitric acid reflux were compared in order to determine if trends were biased by the decomposition method. Concentrations of Cr, V, Fe and Al (metals often associated with mineral lattice structures) were higher in the total digests than in the concentrated nitric acid digests (Table 2). Concentrations of Cr and V in both digests were strongly correlated with Al (Fig. 2; p < 0.001). The differences in y-intercept of the two relationships






Fig. 2. Correlations between V and Cr concentrations  $(\mu g/g)$  and Al (% weight). Closed circles represent sediment data using the near total, concentrated nitric digestion technique (HNO<sub>3</sub>, < 64  $\mu$ m). Open squares show sediment data using the total decomposition (HF, < 64  $\mu$ m).

reflected the more efficient recoveries in the total digest. The slope and the strength  $(R^2)$  of the relationships were similar between the digests. Thus, internally consistent trends among the three metals characterized both digests. Because results from the nitric acid digests were as consistent among all sediments as results from total decomposition, either approach appeared suitable for defining trends within and among cores.




Fig. 3. Downcore trends of Ag, Pb, Cu and Zn for all primary core locations: Grizzly Bay (GB); San Pablo Bay (SP); Central Bay (CB); and Richardson Bay (RB). Horizontal dotted line represents the maximum depth of  $^{137}$ Cs penetration or age of sediment horizon as determined by age dating model (Fuller et al., 1999). Vertical dashed line for each graph represents metal concentration in the reference estuary, Tomales Bay. All data reported in  $\mu g/g$  dry weight in sieved sediments (< 64  $\mu$ m). Each circle represents the mean concentration (HNO<sub>3</sub> digest) of two samples. The error bar represents the standard deviation.

### 3.2. General core features

All cores showed distinct contrasts in metal composition between uncontaminated intervals and intervals deposited after chemical disturbance of the sediments by human activities. Sediments from Tomales Bay (TB93-1), which has little history of contaminant input, showed a slight surface enrichment of Cu and Zn  $(20-28 \mu g/g)$  and  $70-85 \mu g/g$ , respectively) and slightly elevated Hg concentrations (0.1-0.4 µg/g) through most of the core compared to the deepest horizons. No other significant downcore trends in metal concentrations were present (Figs. 3 and 4). Concentrations of Cu, Ag, Hg, Pb and Zn, in the deepest horizons of the San Francisco Bay cores, were comparable to concentrations in uncontaminated horizons of TB93-1 (Figs. 3 and 4). A diffuse maxima in concentrations of these metals occurred toward the surface layers of the San Francisco Bay cores. Differences were statistically significant (oneway ANOVA: p < 0.01) between concentrations in sediments deposited before 1910 (RB92-3) or before 1952 (GB90-6; SP90-8; CB90-12), compared to sediments deposited after 1910 (in RB92-3) or 1952 (GB90-6; SP90-8; CB90-12).

A second group of metals for which anthropogenic sources exist (Cr, V and Ni), showed little evidence of enrichment toward the surface of the cores (Fig. 5). Concentrations of Cr, V and Ni were similar throughout the length of most cores, and were similar to concentrations in Tomales Bay (Table 3). Layers of enriched Fe and Mn concentrations. as might be expected under conditions of diagenetic metal remobilization, were not evident near the surface of any cores. The coefficient of variation (CV) for mean Fe concentrations within cores was < 20%: the CV for Mn within cores was < 30% (Table 3). SP90-8 contained interbedded layers of sand and silt and RB92-3 contained variable amounts of sand (15-60%) (see Fuller et al., 1999; van Geen and Luoma, 1999 for details). The effects of variations in particle size on metal concentrations were removed after the sediments were sieved, as indicated by the absence of vertical trends in Al concentrations within the  $< 64 \mu m$  sediments (Fig. 5). Organic carbon concentrations were similar throughout the RB92-3 core but were higher in the upper 120 cm of SP90-8 than in deeper horizons (Pereira et al., 1999).




Fig. 4. Downcore trends of Hg for Grizzly Bay (GB), San Pablo Bay (SP) and Richardson Bay (RB). Horizontal dotted line represents the maximum depth of  $^{137}$ Cs penetration or minimum age of sediment horizon as determined by age dating model (Fuller et al., 1999). Vertical dashed line for each graph represents metal concentration in the reference estuary, Tomales Bay. All data reported in  $\mu g/g$  dry weight in sieved sediments ( $< 64 \mu m$ ).

#### 3.3. Specific spatial and temporal patterns

Spatial differences in core characteristics were indicative of heterogeneous depositional processes in




Fig. 5. Downcore trends in non-contaminant profiles for San Pablo Bay (SP); Central Bay (CB); and Richardson Bay (RB). Chromium, Ni and V are reported in  $\mu$ g/g dry weight. Aluminum is reported by percent weight. All analyses conducted on sieved sediments (< 64  $\mu$ m). Scatter plot represents the mean concentration (HNO<sub>3</sub> digest) of two samples and the error bar represents the standard deviation. Line plot represents the concentration by total decomposition (HF digest).

the Bay. Among the 14 cores initially screened, some cores were contaminated throughout (1-2 m depth); while metal concentrations in the entire length of others were similar to the lowest concentrations found in the Bay sediments, suggesting these were areas of little net sediment deposition (Fig. 1). Among the six cores analyzed in detail, GB90-6, SP90-2 and

SP90-8 had higher concentrations of Al, Cr, V, Fe and Mn than did the cores from the more seaward locations (CB and RB cores) (Table 3; Fig. 5). This could reflect particle sorting, or a declining abundance of clays from landward to seaward in the Bay, although local areas of fine particle deposition probably also occur. In CB90-12 and CB90-9, concentra-

Table Mean refluxe

Total a GB90-SP90-8 CB90-RB92-TB93-

Nitric & GB90-0 SP90-2 SP90-8 CB90-1 RB92-3

Replica

TB93-1

tions horizc profile Bas were 6 sedim and G zons ( decrea data, ( Fig. 6 pariso contar from t concei Ag ba baselii  $0.06 \pm$ Pb and zons ( μg/g. sedim

the de
The
tion ir
concer

of Zn

(>10)

Table 3
Mean concentrations of Al, Cr, Fe, Mn, Ni and V in bulk sediments (total decomposition) and  $< 64 \mu m$  sieved sediments (nitric acid refluxed) from San Francisco and Tomales Bay cores

	Al (%)	$Cr(\mu g/g)$	Fe (%)	$Mn (\mu g/g)$	Ni (μg/g)	$V(\mu g/g)$
Total decomp	osition (bulk sedime	ents)				
GB90-6	na	na	na	na	na	na
SP90-8	$8.2 \pm 0.9$	$143 \pm 13$	$4.5 \pm 0.4$	$639 \pm 114$	$103 \pm 15$	$170 \pm 19$
CB90-12	$7.1 \pm 0.3$	$131 \pm 12$	$3.9 \pm 0.2$	$377 \pm 28$	$85.3 \pm 7.6$	$136 \pm 9$
RB92-3	$6.4 \pm 0.3$	$113 \pm 8$	$3.5 \pm 0.2$	$378 \pm 32$	$73.3 \pm 4.1$	$117 \pm 7$
TB93-1	$6.5 \pm 0.4$	$150 \pm 8$	$3.7 \pm 0.3$	$324 \pm 21$	$116 \pm 11$	$74.4 \pm 4.4$
Nitric acid di	gest (sieved sedime	nts)				
GB90-6	$4.7 \pm 0.6$	$112 \pm 12$	$4.3 \pm 0.9$	573 ± 179	$105 \pm 11$	$104 \pm 10$
SP90-2*	$5.7 \pm 1.2$	$121 \pm 17$	$4.4 \pm 0.5$	$528 \pm 126$	$102 \pm 16$	$119 \pm 17$
SP90-8	$4.7 \pm 0.6$	$105 \pm 13$	$4.0 \pm 0.4$	$501 \pm 156$	$94.1 \pm 20$	101 ± 9
CB90-9*	$4.0 \pm 0.5$	$104 \pm 11$	$3.8 \pm 0.5$	$315 \pm 30$	$86.2 \pm 7.2$	$87.2 \pm 9.6$
CB90-12	$3.7 \pm 0.5$	$100 \pm 13$	$3.7 \pm 0.6$	$291 \pm 18$	$85.0 \pm 9.1$	$80.8 \pm 11$
RB92-3	$3.9 \pm 0.7$	$101 \pm 14$	$3.7 \pm 0.3$	$305 \pm 22$	$81.2 \pm 5.3$	$86.0 \pm 14.6$
TB93-1	$3.5 \pm 0.3$	123 + 7	$3.4 \pm 0.2$	305 + 17	116 + 11	74.4 + 4.4

Replicate cores are marked with an asterisk. Total decomposition analysis was not conducted on core GB90-6.

tions of Al, Cr, Ni and V were all enriched in horizons toward the surface (Fig. 5), in contrast to profiles of these metals from other regions.

Baseline concentrations of Ag, Cu, Hg, Pb and Zn were estimated from comparisons with Tomales Bay sediments and from the deepest horizons of RB92-3 and GB90-6. Concentrations of Cu in the deep horizons of all cores ranged from 16 to 55 µg/g and decreased from GB90-6 to RB92-3. Among these data, Cu was strongly correlated with Al ( $R^2 = 0.80$ ; Fig. 6). Thus, baseline Cu was determined by comparison with Al concentration in each horizon and contamination was distinguished as positive residuals from the relationship of Cu and Al (Fig. 6). Baseline concentrations of Ag, Pb and Hg were more uniform. Ag baseline concentrations were  $0.09 \pm 0.02 \, \mu g/g$ ; baseline Pb was  $5.2 \pm 0.7 \, \mu g/g$ ; and baseline Hg  $0.06 \pm 0.01 \,\mu\text{g/g}$  (Figs. 3 and 4). Concentrations of Pb and Hg were slightly enriched in the deep horizons (> 115 cm,  $10.4 \pm 1.7 \,\mu\text{g/g}$ , and  $0.35 \pm 0.03$ μg/g, respectively) of SP90-8 and SP90-2, but these sediments were deposited after 1850. Concentrations of Zn were also high in the deepest layers of GB90-6 (> 100 cm,  $94 \pm 6 \mu g/g$ ), but averaged  $78 \pm 7$  in the deepest layers of other cores, including TB93-1.

The earliest detectable anthropogenic contamination in San Francisco Bay was the elevation of Hg concentrations to  $0.3-0.4~\mu g/g$  in sediments de-

posited between 1850 and 1880 in SP90-8 (Fig. 4). Elevated Hg concentrations were not observed at RB92-3 until horizons deposited between 1910 and 1925. Increases in concentrations of Cu, Pb and Zn in RB92-3 were also not evident before 1910. Concentrations of Cu and Zn in Richardson Bay sediments have not declined substantially since the 1940s




Fig. 6. Correlation between Al (% weight) and Cu ( $\mu$ g/g) concentrations for near total (HNO<sub>3</sub>) digests in sediments deposited before 1952 (baseline). Closed circles represent concentrations below the <sup>137</sup>Cs boundary ( $R^2 = 0.80$ ). Open circles represent concentrations in horizons that contain <sup>137</sup>Cs and have been deposited since 1952.

m, Ni µm). ē plot

Ward
This
ibunBay,
probmira-




Fig. 7. Metal concentrations plotted as a function of enrichment factors in Ag (closed circle), Hg (closed square) and Pb (closed triangle). Enrichment factors (EF) are calculated by dividing the concentration in horizon 'i' by the mean baseline concentration. Horizontal dotted line represents the maximum depth of <sup>137</sup>Cs penetration or minimum age of sediment horizon as determined by age dating model (Fuller et al., 1999).

and Pb concentrations have declined little, although variability occurs among horizons. In contrast, maximum concentrations of Ag, Cu, Hg, Pb, and Zn in SP90-8, SP90-2 and GB90-6 occurred in the subsurface, and concentrations have declined in recent decades, but not to the levels that occurred before 1850.

Using the baseline concentrations described above, enrichment factors (EF) (Alexander et al., 1993; Valette-Silver, 1993) were calculated for all horizons in each core from:

$$EF = C_i/C_r \tag{3}$$

where  $C_i$  was the concentration in horizon i and  $C_r$  was the baseline value. Although enrichment factors for metals were highly variable baywide, there were

similarities within a given geographic region. EFs for Hg and Pb in the subsurface peak in GB90-6 were the highest in the Bay (20 and 10 times baseline, respectively). EFs for Hg and Pb were also high in SP90-8 (15 and 7 time baseline, respectively) and decreases in enrichment factors after 1970 characterized both GB90-6 and SP90-8 (Fig. 7). Lead enrichment in CB90-12 and RB92-3 were lower than values observed in the North Bay cores, (a range from 5-10 times baseline), as was Hg, (five times higher in RB92-3; Hg not measured in CB90-12) (Fig. 7). Silver enrichment throughout the bay ranged from 2-7 times higher than baseline, with decreasing enrichment occurring since the 1970s (Fig. 7). Copper and Zn enrichment averaged 2-3 times higher than baseline concentrations at all sites (data not shown).

Table 4
Deposition rate of metal accumulation in three cores collected in San Francisco Bay

	Grizzly Bay (GB90-6) μg/(cm <sup>2</sup> yr)	San Pablo Bay (SP90-8) µg/(cm² yr)	Richardson Bay (RB92-3) µg/(cm² yr)
Ag	0.74	1.2	0.28
Cu	61.9	92.5	16.6
Hg	1.49	1.1	0.2
Pb	65.9	75.2	17.8
Zn	128	168	61.1

See Section 2 for description of inventory calculations.

dc w: lo-(T

4.

gi

the file dep mix (Fu pog mer can betv pari: prof the i 1999 size follo of m Vale when erlun not s

indus sedin (Chri most the o II, a (Vale ing n least where

baseli Calle enrich

ences

#### 4. Discussion

zi

n

e

n

đ

n

T

١.

r

Anthropogenic inputs of metals between 1850 and the present are the simplest explanation for the profiles of Ag, Cu, Hg, Pb and Zn in cores from depositional areas in San Francisco Bay. The strongly mixed sediment column documented at RB92-3 (Fuller et al., 1999), did not obscure the anthropogenic enrichment. Alternative causes of enrichment (Crusius and Anderson, 1991; Farmer, 1991) cannot explain the metal profiles or the differences between San Francisco Bay and Tomales Bay. Comparison with box cores indicate that gravity core profiles were not affected by compression or loss of the near surface sediment during coring (Fuller et al., 1999). Sieving appeared to eliminate most particle size effects. Concentrations of Fe and Mn did not follow patterns indicative of diagenetic redistribution of metals (Ridgway and Price, 1987; Farmer, 1991; Valette-Silver, 1993). Metal loss from sediments when they resided at the oxidized surface (Westerlund et al., 1986) cannot be discounted, but it was not sufficient to eliminate the metal profiles.

Most of the metal contamination associated with industrialization appeared later in San Francisco Bay sediments than in Europe or eastern North America (Christensen and Goetz, 1987; Bricker, 1993). The most enriched concentrations of metals occurred with the onset of rapid industrial growth after World War II, as is typical of industrialized ecosystems (Valette-Silver, 1993). A 'recovery phase' of declining metal concentrations began in the late 1970s at least at some locations for some metals. Like elsewhere, metal concentrations have not declined to baseline values (Valette-Silver, 1993; Huh, 1996; Callendar and Van Metre, 1997). Differences in enrichment factors, proximity to input and differences in local mixing/sedimentation regimes con-

tribute to differences in recovery rates among locations.

Copper and Zn are only moderately enriched in San Francisco Bay sediments. EFs for these metals are less than observed near the head of Narragansett Bay, where contamination is extreme (Bricker, 1996), but are similar to Southern California coastal waters (Finney and Huh, 1989) and an industrialized estuary in Texas (Ravichandran et al., 1995).

EFs for Hg are high in San Francisco Bay. Rasmussen (1994) argued that the contribution of anthropogenic inputs to Hg concentrations in aquatic environments are difficult to determine because natural concentrations vary from 0.01-0.3 µg/g. However, baseline concentrations of Hg  $(0.06 \pm 0.01)$ µg/g) are not highly variable in San Francisco Bay, despite some of the richest geologic deposits of Hg in the world in the watershed (Nriagu, 1994). Mining contaminated Bay sediments with Hg, perhaps as early as 1850. Mercury was extracted from mines in the Bay watershed, at an average rate of 1290 ton/yr, between 1850 and 1900 (Nriagu, 1994). Most of this Hg was used to amalgamate and extract gold in the hydraulic mining processes employed in the Sierra Nevada between 1852 and 1884. Historic accounts (Nriagu, 1994) estimate that 25-30% of the Hg used in gold mining was lost in waste water or to soils. The hydraulic mining also released  $1.2 \times 10^9$  m<sup>3</sup> of sediment, much of which was deposited in San Francisco Bay (Gilbert, 1917). If 40,000 ton of Hg were used in gold mining and 25% was left associated with sediments, then as much as 10,000 ton of Hg could have been transported to the Bay with hydraulic mining sediments. The highest concentration of Hg in the Bay sediments (0.95  $\mu$ g/g in GB90-6) was deposited after most hydraulic mining inputs to the Bay had ceased (but mercury mines were still operative). Maximum Hg levels buried in Bay sediments are below the extreme contamination associated with industrial activities (Smith and Loring, 1981), but are five times the geometric mean concentration in US coastal sediments (Daskalakis and O'Connor, 1995) and exceed the level (0.7 μg/g) predicted to cause adverse biological effects in benthic fauna (Long et al., 1995). Spatial trends in peak Hg concentrations and inventories in sediments point to a historic source in the watershed (the mines); however, concentrations in surface sediments

were relatively uniform among the different cores in 1990 (0.3–0.4  $\mu$ g/g). Thus, inputs from the head of the estuary may not be as dominant as they once were (Fuller et al., 1999).

Concentrations of Pb buried in San Francisco Bay sediments are not among the highest found in US coastal sediments (Daskalakis and O'Connor, 1995), Southern California (Finney and Huh, 1989), other industrialized estuaries (Rozan et al., 1994; Bricker, 1996), or urban reservoirs (Callendar and Van Metre, 1997). Callendar and Van Metre (1997) suggest that atmospheric Pb deposition in urban areas was 14.5 μg/(cm<sup>2</sup> yr) for the years 1965–1975, with declining rates thereafter. This is similar to the long-term average rate of deposition in Richardson Bay (17.8 μg/(cm<sup>2</sup> yr)). On the other hand, long-term inventories of Pb in San Pablo and Grizzly Bay (75.2 and 65.9 µg/(cm<sup>2</sup> yr), respectively) exceed those found in all but the most polluted of the above circumstances. Thus, the high sedimentation rates in North San Francisco Bay may have diluted substantial local Pb inputs. The isotopic signature of Pb in SP90-8, clearly shows a signal consistent with large inputs from the Selby Pb smelter (Ritson et al., 1999). Documented Pb releases from the smelter were ~ 16 T/yr of Pb between 1900 and 1970 (Rabinowitz and Wetherill, 1972), compared to Pb releases of 18 T/yr from the 50 known industrial and municipal discharges in 1990 (Gunther et al., 1987). Isotope signatures also suggest the effects of the smelter could have been highly influential over a broad area of San Francisco Bay (Ritson et al., 1999). Widespread recovery from Pb contamination is reported in sediments from systems dominated by atmospheric inputs (Smith and Flegal, 1995; Callendar and Van Metre, 1997). In San Francisco Bay, it is possible that recovery will be delayed if smelter Pb is still being redistributed in the estuary.

Silver is a modern contaminant of interest because of its association with sewage discharges. Silver contamination has been reported from South Bay since the 1970s (Thomson et al., 1984; Luoma and Phillips, 1988; Smith and Flegal, 1995). The highest Ag concentrations in San Francisco Bay cores are similar to the highest concentrations found in central Puget Sound, but are less than the highest Ag concentrations found in some other coastal systems (Daskalakis and O'Connor, 1995; Bricker, 1996;

Huh, 1996). Trends in Ag concentrations closely follow trends in Pb concentrations in the North Bay cores, raising the possibility that Ag co-occurs with Pb in smelter wastes. Silver concentrations among all cores show a nearly 1:1 relationship with Pb, especially in sediments deposited before 1952, while the Pb smelter was still active (Fig. 8). After 1952, Ag concentrations are greater than would be expected from the Ag/Pb relationship in sediments deposited when the Pb smelter was most influential in San Pablo Bay (Fig. 8; see also Ritson et al., 1999). The relatively recent maxima in Ag concentrations in the Central and Richardson Bay sediments thus may reflect a modern increase in Ag inputs, followed by some recovery (Fig. 3). A similar, recent peak in Ag concentrations, compared to other metals, was also observed in Puget Sound (Bloom and Crecelius, 1987). Thus, a second source of Ag, perhaps the South Bay source, may have enriched Central and Richardson Bay sediments within the last two decades, as other metal concentrations were stabilized or declining.

Interpretation of metal concentrations in estuarine sediments must consider the geology of the watershed (Gobiel et al., 1995). Erosion from the watershed is most likely the dominant sources of Cr, V and Ni in San Francisco Bay. Concentrations of these metals, especially Ni, are high throughout the




Fig. 8. Relationship between Ag and Pb concentrations (HNO $_3$ , <64  $\mu$ m) at all depths from Grizzly Bay, San Pablo Bay, Central Bay and Richardson Bay. Open symbols are sediment horizons deposited after 1952; closed symbols are sediments deposited before 1952. The line is a 1:1 linear relationship between Ag and Ph

length of all cores, compared to many other coastal ecosystems (Campbell and Loring, 1980; Katz and Kaplan, 1981). For example, 42 μg/g was designated as the 'high' concentration of Ni (geometric mean plus one standard deviation) in US Coastal sediments (Daskalakis and O'Connor, 1995). Nickel concentrations in the Gulf of Mexico coast do not exceed 50  $\mu g/g$ , with the highest concentrations occurring with A1 concentrations of 8-10% (Summers et al., 1996). Nickel concentrations in our cores ranged from 70-200 µg/g, and exceeded Gulf Coast Ni concentrations by a factor of 2 or more at similar Al concentrations. Greywackes and ultramafic rocks, such as serpentine, are predominant in the Franciscan Formation that surrounds the Bay. Ultramafics can contain as much as 2000 µg/g Ni and Cr, and greywackes  $> 150 \mu g/g V$  (Taylor and McLennan, 1985). Inputs of Cr, Ni and V from oil refineries and a steel mill in San Pablo and Suisun Bays (Gunther et al., 1987; Luoma et al., 1990; Brown and Luoma, 1995; Abu-Saba and Flegel, 1995) do not appear to affect sediment concentrations of these metals. Perhaps the oxidation states of locally discharged Cr and V is not conducive to adsorption to particle surfaces (Abu-Saba and Flegel, 1995); or the high natural baseline might confound detection of industrial influences.

Spatial heterogeneity of depositional processes is an important characteristic of San Francisco Bay sediments (Krone, 1979; Fuller, 1982; Ogden Beeman and Associates, 1992; Jaffe et al., 1998). Because of this extreme heterogeneity, large-scale mass metal deposition and retention are not easily extrapolated from core data, as has been done in other systems (Bricker, 1996). Comparative bathymetry (Ogden Beeman and Associates, 1992; Jaffe et al., 1998) and screening analyses to explore patterns of pollutant concentrations are necessary to find cores that contain continuous, reliable chronologies. Superimposed upon local and regional variability in deposition, land-to-sea gradients may occur in concentrations of Al, Cu, Cr, Ni, and V, and in deposition rates, most notably, of Hg, at least in depositional areas of San Francisco Bay. Enriched riverine or terrigenous sources of metals can be mixed with and diluted by marine sediments in estuaries (e.g., Uncles et al., 1988; Bricker, 1996). Strong diurnal winds, moderately strong tides, seasonally high river

inflows and gravitational circulation would aid mixing and perhaps particle sorting in a shallow estuary like San Francisco Bay (Conomos, 1979). Mixing can also be affected by human activities. A shift in the contribution of sediment sources, rather than industrial pollution, may be the best explanation for the enrichment of Cr and V toward the surface of Central Bay cores because concentrations of Al changed coincidentally with Cr and V in those cores (Fig. 5). Krone (1996) concluded that the upper or landward bays are not trapping sediment as effectively as they were before 1950, and consequently greater amounts of sediment are being transported toward the Golden Gate. This suggests that more fine-grained sediments may be depositing in Central Bay than in the past. Causes of these changes could include a smaller Bay volume in the upper Bays (Krone, 1996), a change in residence times in the estuary induced by freshwater diversion (D. Schoellhamer, USGS, personal communication), or incorporation into the Central Bay of dredge spoils from the finer grained sediments of North Bay.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge analytical support from Arthur Horowitz, Kent Elrick for mercury analysis. Robin Bouse conducted the silver analysis and contributed valuable ideas about geologic and ore associated sources. We also wish to thank Suzanne B. Bricker for a thoughtful and thorough review of the manuscript. Comments by Herb Windom and two anonymous reviewers contributed to the improvement of the final draft. This work was funded in part through a cooperative study with the National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration under grant #1434-93-A-106.

#### References

Abu-Saba, K.E., Flegel, A.R., 1995. Chromium in San Francisco
 Bay: superposition of geochemical processes causes complex
 spatial distributions of redox species. Mar. Chem. 49, 189-199.
 AHI (Aquatic Habitat Inst.) and Williams Associates, 1990. Status

- and Trends Report on Dredging and Waterway Modification in the San Francisco Estuary. San Francisco Estuary Project (USEPA) Publication, Oakland, CA, 260 pp.
- Alexander, C.R., Smith, R.G., Calder, F.D., Schropp, S.J., Windom, H.L., 1993. The historical record of metal enrichment in two Florida estuaries. Estuaries 16, 627-637.
- Bertine, K.K., Goldberg, E.D., 1977. History of heavy metal pollution in Southern California coastal zone-reprise. Environ. Sci. Technol. 11, 297-299.
- Bloom, N.S., Crecelius, E.A., 1987. Distribution of silver, mercury, lead, copper and cadmium in Central Puget Sound sediments. Mar. Chem. 21, 377-390.
- Bouse, R.M., Hornberger, M.I., Luoma, S.N., 1996. Sr and Nd isotopic compositions and trace element concentrations in San Francisco Bay cores distinguish sediment deposited from hydraulic gold-mining and mercury mining. EOS, Transactions, American Geophysical Union 77, 201.
- Bricker, S.B., 1993. The history of Cu, Pb, and Zn inputs to Narragansett Bay, Rhode Island as recorded by salt-marsh sediments. Estuaries 16, 589-607.
- Bricker, S.B., 1996. Retention of sediment and metals by Narragansett Bay subtidal and marsh environments: an update. The Science of the Total Environment 179, 27-46.
- Brown, C.L., Luoma, S.N., 1995. Use of the euryhaline bivalve Potamocorbula amurensis as a biosentinel species to assess trace metal contamination in San Francisco Bay. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 124, 129-142.
- Callendar, E., Van Metre, P.C., 1997. Reservoir sediment cores show U.S. lead declines. Environ. Sci. Technol. 31, 424A– 429A.
- Campbell, J.A., Loring, D.H., 1980. Baseline levels of heavy metals in the waters and sediments of Baffin Bay. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 11, 257-261.
- Christensen, E.R., Goetz, R.H., 1987. Historical fluxes of particle-bound pollutants from deconvolved sedimentary records, Environ, Sci. Technol, 21, 1088-1096.
- Conomos, T.J. (Ed.), 1979. San Francisco Bay: The urbanized Estuary. American Association for the Advancement of Science, San Francisco, CA.
- Crusius, J., Anderson, R.F., 1991. Core compression and surficial sediment loss of lake sediments of high porosity caused by gravity coring. Limnol. Oceanogr. 36, 1021-1031.
- Daskalakis, K.D., O'Connor, T.P., 1995. Distribution of chemical concentrations in US coastal and estuarine sediments. Mar. Environ. Res. 40, 381-399.
- Farmer, J.G., 1991. The perturbation of historical pollution records in aquatic sediments. Environ. Geochem. Health 13, 76–83.
- Finney, B.P., Huh, C., 1989. History of metal pollution in the Southern California Bight: an update. Environ. Sci. Technol. 23, 294-303.
- Flegal, A.R., Smith, G.J., Gill, G.A., Sanudo-Wilhelmy, S.A., Anderson, L.D., 1991. Dissolved trace element cycles in the San Francisco Bay estuary. Mar. Chem. 36, 329-363.
- Fuller, C.C., 1982. The use of <sup>210</sup>Pb, <sup>234</sup>Th, and <sup>137</sup>Cs as tracers of sedimentary processes in San Francisco Bay, California. Master's Thesis, University of Southern California, 215 pp.
- Fuller, C.C., van Geen, A., Baskaran, M., Anima, R., 1999.

- Sediment chronology in San Francisco Bay defined by <sup>210</sup>Pb, <sup>234</sup>Th, <sup>137</sup>Cs and <sup>239,240</sup>Pu. Mar. Chem. 64, 7–27, (this issue).
- Gilbert, G.K., 1917. Hydraulic mining debris in the Sierra Nevada. US Geological Survey Prof. Paper 105, 154 pp.
- Gobiel, C., Johnson, W.K., MacDonald, R.W., Wong, C.S., 1995.Sources and burden of lead in St. Lawrence estuary sediments:isotopic evidence. Environ. Sci. Technol. 29, 193-201.
- Goldberg, E.D., Hodge, V., Koide, M., Griffin, J., Gamble, E., Bricker, O., Matisoff, G., Holdren, G.R. Jr., Braun, R., 1978. A pollution history of Chesapeake Bay. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 42, 1413-1425.
- Gunther, A.J., Davis, J.A., Phillips, D.J.H., 1987. An Assessment of the Loading of Toxic Contaminants to the San Francisco Bay Delta. Aquatic Habitat Institute Publication, Richmond, CA, 330 pp.
- Huh, C., 1996. Fluxes and budgets of anthropogenic metals in the Santa Monica and San Pedro Basins off Los Angeles: review and reassessment. The Science of the Total Environment 179, 47-60.
- Jaffe, B.E., Smith, R.E., Zinc, L., 1998. Sedimentation and bathymetry changes in San Pablo Bay: 1856-1983. US Geological Survey Open-File Report 98-759.
- Katz, A., Kaplan, I.R., 1981. Heavy metal behavior in coastal sediments of southern California: a critical review and synthesis. Mar. Chem. 10, 261-299.
- Keith, L.H., Crummett, W., Deegan, J., Libby, R.A., Taylor, J.K., Wentler, G., 1983. Principles of environmental analysis. Anal. Chem. 55, 2210-2218.
- Krone, R.B., 1979. Sedimentation in the San Francisco Bay system. In: Conomos, T.J. (Ed.), San Francisco Bay: The Urbanized Estuary. Pacific Division, AAAS and California Academy of Sciences, San Francisco, CA.
- Krone, R.B., 1996. Recent sedimentation in the San Francisco Bay system. In: Hollibaugh, J.T. (Ed.), San Francisco Bay: The Ecosystem. Pacific Division, AAAS, San Francisco, CA.
- Long, E.R., MacDonald, D.D., Smith, S.L., Calder, F.D., 1995. Incidence of adverse biological effects within ranges of chemical concentrations in marine and estuarine sediments. Environmental Management 19, 81-97.
- Luoma, S.N., 1990. Processes affecting metal concentrations in estuarine and marine coastal sediments. In: Rainbox, P., Furness, R. (Eds.), Heavy Metals in the Marine Environment. CRC Press, Uniscience, pp. 51-66.
- Luoma, S.N., Bryan, G.W., 1981. A statistical assessment of the form of trace metals in oxidized estuarine sediments employing chemical extractants. The Science of the Total Environment 17, 165-196.
- Luoma, S.N., Phillips, D.J.H., 1988. Distribution, variability and impacts of trace elements in San Francisco Bay. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 19, 413-425.
- Luoma, S.N., Dagovitz, R., Axtmann, E., 1990. Temporally intensive study of trace metals in sediments and bivalves from a large river-estuarine system: Suisun Bay/Delta in San Francisco Bay. The Science of the Total Environment 97-98, 685-712.
- Monroe, M.W., Kelly, J., 1992. State of the Estuary: a report on conditions and problems in the San Francisco Bay/Sacra-

- mento-San Joaquin Delta Estuary. San Francisco Bay Estuary Project, Oakland, CA, 269 pp.
- Nriagu, J.O., 1994. Mercury pollution from the past mining of gold and silver in the Americas. The Science of the Total Environment 149, 167-181.
- Nriagu, J.O., Wong, H.K.T., Coker, R.D., 1982. Deposition and chemistry of pollutant metals in lakes around the smelters at Sudbury, Ontario. Environ. Sci. Technol. 16, 551-560.
- Ogden Beeman and Associates, 1992. Sediment budget study for San Francisco Bay. Final report prepared for the San Francisco District, Corps of Engineers.
- Owens, M., Cornwell, J.C., 1994. Sedimentary evidence for decreased heavy-metal inputs to the Chesapeake Bay. Ambio 24, 24-27.
- Pereira, W.E., Hostettler, F.D., Luoma, S.N., van Geen, A., Fuller, C.C., Anima, R.J., 1999. Sedimentary record of anthropogenic and biogenic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in San Francisco Bay, California. Mar. Chem. 64, 99-113, (this issue).
- Peterson, D.H., Noble, M., Smith, R.E., 1993. Suspended sediment in San Francisco Bay estuary, California—Recent history and available data sets. USGS Water-Resources Invest. Rep. 93-4128. US Geological Survey, Sacramento, CA, 35 pp.
- Rabinowitz, M.B., Wetherill, G.W., 1972. Identifying sources of lead contamination by stable isotope techniques. Environ. Sci. Technol. 6, 705-709.
- Rasmussen, P.E., 1994. Current methods of estimating atmospheric mercury fluxes in remote areas. Environ. Sci. Technol. 28, 2233-2240.
- Ravichandran, M., Baskaran, M., Santschi, P.H., Bianchi, T.S., 1995. History of trace metal pollution in Sabine-Neches estuary, Beaumont, Texas. Environ. Sci. Technol. 29, 1495-1503.
- Ridgway, I.M., Price, N.B., 1987. Geochemical associations and post-depositional mobility of heavy metals in coastal sediments: Loch Etive, Scotland. Mar. Chem. 21, 229-248.
- Ritson, P.I., Bouse, R.M., Flegal, A.R., Luoma, S.M., 1999. Stable lead isotopic analyses of historic and contemporary lead contamination of San Francisco Bay estuary. Mar. Chem. 64, 71-83, (this issue).
- Rozan, T.F., Hunter, K.S., Benoit, G., 1994. Industrialization as recorded in floodplain deposits of the Quinnipiac River, Connecticut. Mar. Poll. Bull. 28, 564-569.

- Salomons, W., Forstner, U., 1984. Metals in the Hydrocycle. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 349 pp.
- San Francisco Estuary Institute, 1994. San Francisco Estuary Regional Monitoring Program for Trace Substance: 1994 Annual Report. SFEI, Richmond, CA, 339 pp.
- Sansone, F.J., Hollibaugh, J.T., Vink, S.M., Chambers, R.M., Joye, S.B., Popp, B.N., 1994. Diver-operated piston corer for nearshore use. Estuaries 17, 716-720.
- Smith, J.N., Loring, D.H., 1981. Geochronology for mercury pollution in the sediments of the Saguenay Fjord, Quebec. Environ. Sci. Technol. 15, 944-951.
- Smith, D.R., Flegal, A.R., 1995. Lead in the biosphere: recent trends. Ambio 24, 21-23.
- Summers, J.K., Wade, T.L., Engle, V.D., Malaeb, Z.A., 1996.Normalization of metal concentrations in estuarine sediments from the Gulf of Mexico. Estuaries 19, 581-594.
- Taylor, S.R., McLennan, S.M., 1985. The continental crust: its composition and evolution. Blackwell, 312 pp.
- Thomson, E.A., Luoma, S.N., Johansson, C.E., Cain, D.J., 1984.
  Comparison of sediments and organisms in identifying sources of biologically available trace metal contamination. Water Resources 18, 755-765.
- Uncles, R.J., Stephens, J.A., Woodrow, T.Y., 1988. Seasonal cycling of estuarine sediment and contaminant transport. Estuaries 11, 108-116.
- Valette-Silver, N.J., 1993. The use of sediment cores to reconstruct historical trends in contamination of estuarine and coastal sediments. Estuaries 16, 577-588.
- Valette-Silver, N.J., Bricker, S.B., Salomons, W., 1993. Historical trends in contamination of estuarine and coastal sediments; an introduction to the dedicated issue. Estuaries 16, 575-576.
- van Geen, A., Luoma, S.N., 1999. The impact of human activities on sediments of San Francisco Bay, California: an overview. Mar. Chem. 64, 1-6, (this issue).
- Venkatesan, M.I., de Leon, R.P., van Geen, A., Luoma, S.N., 1999. Chlorinated hydrocarbon pesticides and polychlorinated biphenyls in sediment cores from San Francisco Bay. Mar. Chem. 64, 85-97, (this issue).
- Westerlund, S.F.G., Anderson, L.G., Hall, P.O.J., Iverfeldt, Å., Rutgers Van Der Loeff, M.M., Sundby, B., 1986. Benthic fluxes of cadmium, copper, nickel, zinc, and lead in the coastal environment. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 50, 1289– 1296.

US mailing notice – Marine Chemistry (ISSN 0304-4203) is published monthly except in the months March, May, July and September by Elsevier Science (Molenwerf 1, Postbus 211, 1000 AE Amsterdam). Annual subscription price in the USA US\$1456 (US\$ price valid in North, Central and South America only), including air speed delivery. Periodicals postage rate is paid at Jamaica, NY 11431.

USA POSTMASTERS: Send address changes to *Marine Chemistry*, Publications Expediting, Inc., 200 Meacham Avenue, Elmont, NY 11003. Airfreight and mailing in the USA by Publications Expediting.

#### Advertising information

Advertising orders and enquiries can be sent to: Europe and ROW: Rachel Gresle-Farthing, Elsevier Science Ltd., Advertising Department, The Boulevard, Langford Lane, Kidlington, Oxford OX5 1GB, UK; phone: (+44) (1865) 843565; fax: (+44) (1865) 843976; e-mail: r.gresle-farthing@elsevier.co.uk. USA and Canada: Elsevier Science Inc., Mr. Tino DeCarlo, 655 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10010-5107, USA; phone: (+1) (212) 633 3815; fax: (+1) (212) 633 3820; e-mail: t.decarlo@elsevier.com. Japan: Elsevier Science K.K., Advertising Department, 9-15 Higashi-Azabu 1-chome, Minato-ku, Tokyo 106, Japan; phone: (+81) (3) 5561-5033; fax: (+81) (3) 5561 5047.

#### **Notes to Contributors**

A detailed Guide for Authors is available upon request or from the Marine Chemistry Home Page at: http://:www.elsevier.nl/locate/Marchem. Please pay special attention to the following notes:

#### Language

The official language of the journal is English.

#### Preparation of the text

- (a) The manuscript should preferably be prepared on a word processor and printed with double spacing and wide margins and include an abstract of not more than 500 words. Also provide 4 to 6 keywords. These must be taken from the most recent edition of the AGI GeoRef Thesaurus and should be placed beneath the abstract. Words to be printed in italics should be underlined. The metric system should be used throughout.
- (b) The title page should include: the title, the name(s) of the author(s), their affiliations, fax and e-mail numbers. In case of more than one author, please indicate to whom the correspondence should be addressed.

#### References

- (a) References in the text start with the name of the author(s), followed by the publication date in parentheses. All references cited in the text should be given in the reference list and vice versa.
- (b) The reference list should be in alphabetical order and on sheets separate from the text.

#### Tables

Tables should be compiled on separate sheets and should be numbered according to their sequence in the text.

#### Illustrations

- (a) All illustrations should be numbered consecutively and referred to in the text.
- (b) Drawings should be completely annotated, the size of the lettering being appropriate to that of the drawings, but taking into account the possible need for reduction in size (preferably not more than 50%). The page format of Marine Chemistry should be considered in designing the drawings.
- (c) Photographs must be of good quality, printed on glossy paper.
- (d) Figure captions should be supplied on a separate sheet.
- (e) Colour figures can be accepted providing the reproduction costs are met by the author. Please consult the publisher for further information.

#### Proots

One set of proofs will be sent to the corresponding author, to be checked for typesetting/editing. The author is not expected to make changes or corrections that contstitute departures form the article in its accepted form.

#### Reprints

Fifty reprints of each article published are supplied free of charge. Additional reprints can be ordered on a reprint order form, which will be sent to the first author upon acceptance of the article.

#### Submission of manuscripts

Five copies should be submitted to: Editorial Office *Marine Chemistry*, RSMAS, MAC, University of Miami, 4600 Rickenbacker Causeway, FL 33149-1098, USA. The indication of a fax and e-mail number on submission of the manuscript could assist in speeding communications. Illustrations should also be submitted in five copies: one set should be in a form ready for reproduction; the other four may be of lower quality. Authors are requested to submit, with their manuscripts, the names and full addresses (plus fax numbers if possible) of four potential referees.

#### Submission of electronic text

In order to publish the paper as quickly as possible after acceptance, authors are encouraged to submit the final text also on a 3.5" or 5.25" diskette. Both double density (DD) and high density (HD) diskettes are acceptable. Make sure, however, that the diskettes are formatted according to their capacity (HD or DD) before copying the files onto them. Similar to the requirements for manuscript submission, main text, list of references, tables and figure legends should be stored in separate text files with clearly identifiable file names. The format of these files depends on the word processor used. Texts made with DisplayWrite, MultiMate, Microsoft Word, Samna Word, Sprint, Volkswriter, Wang PC, WordMARC, WordPerfect, Wordstar, or supplied in DCA/RTF, or DEC/DX format can be readily processed. In all other cases the preferred format is DOS text or ASCII. It is essential that the name and version of the wordprocessing program, type of computer on which the text was prepared, and format of the text files are clearly indicated. Authors are requested to ensure that the contents of the diskette correspond exactly to the contents of the hard copy manuscript. Discrepancies can lead to proofs of the wrong version being made. The word processed text should be in single column format. Keep the layout of the text as simple as possible; in particular, do not use the word processor's options to justify or to hyphenate the words. If available, elctronic files of the figures should als be included on a separate floppy disk.

Authors in Japan please note: Upon request, Elsevier Science Japan will provide authors with a list of people who can check and improve the English of their paper (before submission). Please contact our Tokyo office: Elsevier Science K.K., 1-9-15 Higashi Azabu, Minato-ku, Tokyo 106; Tel. +81 3 5561 5032; Fax +81 3 5561 5045.

Submission of an article is understood to imply that the article is original and unpublished and is not being considered for publication elsewhere. Upon acceptance of an article by the journal, the author(s) will be asked to transfer the copyright of the article to the publisher. This transfer will ensure the widest possible dissemination of information.

## **Ocean Energies**

# Environmental, Economic and Technological Aspects of Alternative Power Sources

## by R.H. Charlier and J.R. Justus

#### Elsevier Oceanography Series Volume 56

This timely volume provides a comprehensive review of current technology for all ocean energies. It opens with an analysis of ocean thermal energy conversion (OTEC), with and without the use of an intermediate fluid. The historical and economic background is reviewed. and the geographical areas in which this energy could be utilized are pinpointed. The production of hydrogen as a side product, and environmental consequences of OTEC plants are looked at. The competitiveness of OTEC with conventional sources of energy is analysed. Optimisation, current research and development potential are also examined.

Each chapter contains bibliographic references. The author has also distinguished between energy schemes which might be valuable in less-industrialized regions of the world, but uneconomical in the developed countries. A large number of illustrations support the text.

Every effort has been made to ensure that the book is readable and accessible for the specialist as well as the non-expert. It will be of particular interest to energy economists, engineers, geologists and oceanographers, and to environmentalists and environmental engineers.

### **Short Contents: .**

- 1. State of the Art.
- Offshore Wind Power Stations.
- 3. Ocean Current Energy Conversion.
- 4. Solar Ponds.
- 5. Waves.
- 6. Current Assessment of Ocean Thermal Energy Potential.



- 7. Is Tidal Power Coming of Age?
- Salinity Energy.
   Geothermal Energy.
- 10. Marine Biomass Energy.

Glossary. References and notes. Bibliography. Index

A complete list of contents is available from the publisher.

1993 556 pages Dfl. 390.00 (US \$ 222.75) ISBN 0-444-88248-0

ELSEVIER SCIENCE B.V. P.O. Box 1930 1000 BX Amsterdam The Netherlands

P.O. Box 945 Madison Square Station New York, NY 10160-0757

The Dutch Guilder (Dfl.) prices quoted apply worldwide. US \$ prices quoted may be subject to exchange rate fluctuations. Customers in the European Community should add the appropriate VAT rate applicable in their country to the price.